

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,878	04/25/2001	Tomoyuki Imai	1417-348	5510
7	7590 11/01/2002			
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
Arlington, VA	22201		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	11
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002	"(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			ASI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Advisory Action	09/840,878	IMAI ET AL.	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward M. Johnson	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final (opriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>10 October 2002</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	• •	•	rth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3 .
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-21,29 and 32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examin	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would replace the open language "comprising" with "consisting essentially of" language. Since this would exclude embodiments not excluded by the finally rejected claims, a new issue requiring further search and/or consideration is presented.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued throughout that the new claims are allowable because they employ "consisting essentially of" language, which excludes the use of a support. Although these claims could be found to be allowable once the amendment is entered, this argument is not persuasive because the amendment containing this language has not yet been entered, and the new issue has not yet been searched and/or considered.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700